

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,511	11/07/2001	Andrea Leone-Bay	1946/1B861-US2	2401
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			SPEAR, JAMES M	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	,
			DATE-MAILED: 03/04/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- %							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/005,511	LEONE-BAY ET AL.					
Since Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	James M Spear	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with .	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.	ovember 2003.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-221</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-221</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		v the Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	tine certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,511

Art Unit: 1615

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 21-221 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of U.S. Patent No. 6,344,213 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because while the claims of the patent are directed to particular species of biologically active agents it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the composition is not limited to the specific active agents. One skilled in the art could readily determine other biologically active agents suitable for incorporation into said composition given an understanding of the properties and characteristics required because the drugs of the patent are similar to many other drugs not listed. It has been well settled that obviousness-type double patenting rejections of application claims that are generic to species of invention covered by a patent claim are proper, since the patentee could gain an extension of term on a species when the broad genus is later issued, and since without terminal disclaimer, extant species claims preclude issuance of generic application claims. *In re Emert, 44 USPQ2d 1152 (CAFC 1997); In re*

Art Unit: 1615

Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d, 2010 (CAFC 1993); and In re Van Ornum, 214 USPQ 761, 767 (CCPA 1982).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Spear whose telephone number is 571 272 0605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Spear
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615

March 2, 2004